Rethinking Democracy 101: How can a general present-absent effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework be built to capture the necessary and sufficient conditions for democratic and non-democratic models to come to exist and persist in power once in power?

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15802614

By

Lucio Muñoz*

*** Independent Qualitative Comparative Researcher / Consultant, Vancouver, BC, Canada Email: munoz@interchange.ubc.ca**

Abstract

The 2016 BREXIT/leave EU and the 2016 USEXIT/Trumpism came and went in ways inconsistent with traditional democratic thinking and theory, which has made it difficult for normal democratic actors to understand the behavior of extreme democratic actors. See the coming and going of extreme democratic outcomes is linked to the existence or not of effective targeted chaos. Traditional democratic theory thinkers have been dealing with non-traditional democratic actors as if they were traditional democratic actors as from inside the box of traditional democratic thinking you cannot see that conditions that can lead to extreme democratic outcomes and which can allow them to persist in a world under effective targeted chaos so traditional democratic actors cannot take proactive action, just reactive action under knowledge gaps. Hence, there is a need to rethink democracy thinking and this paper tries to contribute to that by posting and answering the following questions: How can a general present-absent effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework be built to capture the necessary and sufficient conditions for democratic and non-democratic models to come to exist and persist in power once in power? What are the implications of this for democracies and authoritarianism-based models? How can this framework apply to exism movements under temporary authoritarianism like Trumpism?

Introduction

1) The coming of exism movements under independent rule of law liberal democracies

The coming of 2016 BREXIT in the UK (BBC 2016) and then 2016 USEXIT in the USA (Rawlinson 2016) sent us from a democratic world (before 2016) where the internal discourse was morality vs. practicality (Muñoz 2015) to a new world (after 2016) where the internal discourse is Democracy vs. Authoritarianism, yet normal democratic thinkers have acted since

2016 as if the discourse in liberal democracies under exism movement threats is between a normal democratic outcome versus normal democratic outcome as before, when it is not.

2) The democratic versus temporary authoritarianism cold war

From 2016 to 2024 liberal democracies have been under an internal democracy-temporary authoritarianism cold war (BBC 2020; Collinson 2020) apparently without knowing or understanding that this is the case as the evolution of traditional democratic thinking has not catch up yet, giving exism movements the normality that they need to find the way in and destroy from within the democratic values under which they come to exist, but in which they cannot permanently exist. Brexism lasted until 2024(TG 2024) and Trumpism lasted until 2020(TG 2020).

3) Effective targeted chaos at the heart of the democracy-temporary authoritarianism cold war

Effective targeted chaos is an anti-democratic tool that falls outside traditional democratic thinking as under traditional democracy thinking normal chaos, chaos that respects the independent rule of law, can be addressed by the independent court of law system, but effective targeted chaos once it shapes the norm should be expected to place itself outside the independent rule of law, especially if the exism movement feels that targeted chaos may not be effective next time around. Under independent rule of law claims of electoral fraud made by exism movement actors without evidence do not succeed in court (Shamsian and Sheth 2020).

4) The needs to rethink democracy thinking

The need to understand the role of effective targeted chaos plays in bringing about authoritarianism models to come to exist and persist is relevant today to close this knowledge gap that exists as highlighted recently (Muñoz 2019), and this understanding can be used to help liberal democracies to play proactively to ensure its persistence of democracy even if extreme democratic outcomes come and go, and this paper is an attempt to contribute to that understanding.

As mentioned above, the 2016 BREXIT/leave EU and the 2016 USEXIT/Trumpism came and went in ways inconsistent with traditional democratic thinking and theory, which has made it difficult for normal democratic actors to understand the behavior of extreme democratic actors. See the coming and going of extreme democratic outcomes is linked to the existence or not of effective targeted chaos. Traditional democratic theory thinkers have been dealing with non-traditional democratic actors as if they were traditional democratic actors as from inside the box of traditional democratic thinking you cannot see the conditions that can lead to extreme democratic outcomes and which can allow them to persist in a world under effective targeted chaos so traditional democratic actors cannot take proactive action, just reactive action under knowledge gaps. Hence, there is a need to rethink democracy thinking and this paper tries to

contribute to that by posting and answering the following questions: How can a general present-absent effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework be built to capture the necessary and sufficient conditions for democratic and non-democratic models to come to exist and persist in power once in power? What are the implications of this for democracies and authoritarianism-based models? How can this framework apply to exism movements under temporary authoritarianism like Trumpism?

Goals of this paper

1) To show how to set up the general effective targeted chaos -independent rule of law quadrant-based framework step by step to highlight necessary and sufficient conditions for specific paradigms to come to exist and persist; 2) To introduce the system access to power variability framework to point out how specific systems use specific ways to access power to exist and persist; 3) To link the two frameworks above to create the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant based framework. 4) To apply the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant framework to the idea of democracy and authoritarianism in terms of the necessary and sufficient conditions to exist and persist; and 5) To apply the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant framework to capture the idea of exism movements like Brexism, Trumpism, Brazilianism and so in terms of the necessary and sufficient conditions to exist and persist and to evolved back to normal liberal democracy or towards permanent authoritarianism.

Methodology

First, the terminology used in the paper and relevant concepts and tools are shared. Second, the system access to power variability framework is introduced. Second, the general effective targeted chaos -independent rule of law quadrant-based framework is put together step by step by first introducing the effective targeted chaos inversegram, then presenting the independent rule of law inversegram, and then linking them together to highlight necessary and sufficient conditions for specific paradigms to come to exist and persist. Third, the system access to power variability framework is described and its links to specific known power-based models like perfect democracy, liberal democracy, temporary authoritarianism, and permanent authoritarianism to point out how these systems use specific and different ways to access power to exist and persist. Fourth, the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework is put together by linking the general effective targeted chaos -independent rule of law quadrant-based framework and the system access to power variability framework mentioned above. Fifth, the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework is

applied to link it to idea of democracy and authoritarianism in terms of the necessary and sufficient conditions to exist and persist. Sixth, the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant-based framework is aimed at capturing the idea of exism movements like Brexism, Trumpism, Brazilianism and so in terms of the necessary and sufficient conditions they need to exist and persist and to evolved back to normal liberal democracy or towards permanent authoritarianism. And finally, seventh some relevant food for thoughts and conclusions are provided.

Terminology

E = Effective targeted chaos e = No-effective targeted chaos

Q = Quadrant Qi = Quadrant type "i"

SS = Social structure SSi = Social structure type "i"

APO = Access to power APOi = Access to power type "i"

I = Independent rule of law system i = Non-independent rule of law system

PD = Perfect democracy LD = Liberal democracy

TA = Temporary authoritarianism PA = Permanent authoritarianism

EXM = Exism movement BREXIT = Exism movement in the UK

USEXIT = Exism movement in the USA T = Trumpism

ELD = Extreme liberal democracy NLD = Normal liberal democracy

IRL = Independent rule of law system inversegram T = True majority

NIRL = Non-independent rule of law system inversegram M = True minority

ETK = Effective targeted chaos inversegram NDO = Normal democratic outcome

NETK = Non-effective targeted chaos inversegram EDO = Extreme democratic outcome

---

Operational concepts and analytical tools and rules

a) Operational concepts

1) Normal populism, the movement that reflects the best interest of the true majority.

3) Populism with a mask, the movement that reflects the best interest of the true minority.

4) Perfect democracy, perfect populism or populism with no need of rule of law system as there is no electoral or access to power chaos to sort out.

5) Liberal democracy, the majority rule-based system under an independent rule of law model needed to sort out electoral or access to power chaos that may exist or that can be made.

6) Normal liberal democracy, the liberal democracy where there is no effective targeted chaos, the one driven by normal populism.

7) Extreme liberal democracy, the liberal democracy where there is effective targeted chaos, the one driven by populism with a mask.

8) Normal democratic outcome, the one where the true majority wins the majority ruled based voting contest, $T > M$, where the best interest of the country is put first.

9) Extreme democratic outcome, the one where the true minority wins the majority ruled based voting contest, $T < M$, where the best interest of the movement is put first.

10) Temporary authoritarianism, the one born within liberal democracies, where the view of the true minority temporarily rules.

11) Permanent authoritarianism, a non-democratic system where the view of the true minority permanently rules.

12) Exism, the extreme democratic movements born within liberal democracies aiming at destroying majority rule-based institutions, locally and globally.

13) Brexism, the extreme democratic movement in the UK 2016-2024.

14) Trumpism, the extreme democratic movement in the USA 2016-2021.

15) Brazilianism, the extreme democratic movement in Brazil 2019-2023.

16) Democratic normalism, the tendency of normal liberal democracies to move towards more stable or balance democratic conditions through time as they seek responsible true majority rule.

17) Democratic extremism, the tendency of extreme liberal democracies to move towards the more unstable or unequal democratic conditions as they flourish under irresponsible true minority rule.

18) Effective targeted chaos, the one that leads to full true majority complacency and produces an extreme democratic outcome.

19) Ineffective targeted chaos, the one that does not lead to full true majority complacency and produces a normal democratic outcome.

20) Independent rule of law system, the factual based system that ensures that the laws of the country are respected no matter who is in power or may come to power.

21) Non-independent rule of law system, the system that overlooks facts if needed to place or maintain or preserve a specific movement or ideology in power.

b) Operational analytical tools and rules

If we have the majority rule based liberal democratic model of the form D = Q.P, where D = liberal democracy, Q = the true majority, and P = the true minority, and therefore, Q > P. Then, the following holds true:

i) Liberal democracies under no effective targeted chaos(e)

If no effective targeted chaos(e) is affecting the working of the majority rule based liberal democracy model(D), and therefore, not affecting the competition contest between Q and P, then the voting contest where Q competes with P leads to a normal democratic outcome (NDO) as there is no full true majority complacency as indicated below:

1) e(D) = e(Q.P) = NDO since Q > P

Expression 1 above tells us that under no effective targeted chaos(e) the majority rule-based voting contest produces a normal democratic outcome (NDO).

ii) Liberal democracies under effective targeted chaos(E)

If effective targeted chaos(E) is affecting the working of the majority rule based liberal democracy model(D), and therefore, affecting the competition contest between Q and P, then the voting contest where Q competes with P leads to an extreme democratic outcome (EDO) as there is full true majority complacency induced by effective targeted chaos(E) as indicated below:

2) E(D) = E(Q.P) = EDO since Q < P

Expression 2 above indicates to us that under effective targeted chaos(E) the majority rule-based voting contest produces an extreme democratic outcome (EDO) as effective targeted chaos(E) leads to the collapse of Q due to induced full true majority complacency.

Consistent concepts and operational tools and rules with the ideas in this paper can be found in (Muñoz 2021).

Setting up the general effective targeted chaos inversegram-independent rule of law inversegram quadrant-based framework

a) The independent rule of law inversegram (IRL)

As the rule of law can vary from non-independent rule of law (i) to fully independent rule of law system (I) and vis a vis, then the independent rule of law system inversegram (IRL) can be stated as indicated below:



Figure 1 The independent rule of law system inversegram

Figure 1 above describes the nature of the independent rule of system inversegram (IRL), which goes from no independence (i) to fully independent (I) rule of law system.

b) The effective targeted chaos inversegram (ETK)

As targeted chaos can vary from no effective targeted chaos (e) to fully effective targeted chaos (E), and vis a vis, then the effective targeted chaos inversegram (ETK) can be indicated as follows:

Figure 2 The effective targeted chaos inversegram

Figure 2 above shows the nature of the effective targeted chaos inversegram (ETK), which goes from no effective targeted chaos (e) to fully effective targeted chaos.

c) The structure of the general effective targeted chaos inversegram-independent rule of law Inversegram quadrant-based framework

When we place the effective targeted chaos inversegram (ETK) perpendicular to the independent rule of law inversegram(IRL), we create the boundaries under which specific social paradigm exist and persist as shown in Figure 3 below:



Figure 3 The effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law system framework: EFK-IRL framework

We can point out the following based on Figure 3 above: i) there is a specific paradigm Qi = SSi that exists and persist within the walls around it; ii) The system Q1 = SS1 exists and persists in quadrant 1; iii) The system Q2 = SS2 exists and persists in quadrant 2; iii) The system Q3 = SS3 exists and persists in quadrant 3; iv) The system Q4 = SS4 exists and persists in quadrant 4; v) No one paradigm can exist in a different quadrant as their necessary and sufficient conditions to exist and persist disappear; and vi) the borders keep different paradigms separated in a cold war fashion each protecting their internal paradigm structure. In another words, Figure 3 contains the structure of the general effective targeted chaos inversegram-independent rule of law inversegram quadrant-based framework

Introducing the system access to power variability framework

In a world where two variables are relevant to access the system power, effective targeted chaos (E) and independent rule of law (I), then their present or absent create a specific path to power for each system possible, which can be capture by the access to power (APO) variability model stated below:

APO = E + I

The expression above tells us that there can be different ways to access the system power (APO) depending on whether or not only effective targeted chaos (E) is present or only independent rule of law (I) is present or both effective targeted chaos (E) and independent rule of law (I) are present or absent at the same time.

a) The case where only effective targeted chaos (E) is present

When only effective targeted chaos (E) is present, the structure of access to power takes the following form:

1) APO1 = Ei

Expression 1 above tells us that in system APO1 access to power is in the presence of effective targeted chaos (E) in an environment where there is no independent rule of law system (i).

Notice that the system where there is effective targeted chaos present (E) under a non-independent rule of law system (i) is one under permanent authoritarianism (PA) so that:

2) APO1 = Ei = PA = Permanent authoritarianism

Expression 2 above points out the structure of permanent authoritarianism in terms of accessing power through effective targeted chaos under no independent rule of law system. Here, the minority view rules under a non-independent rule of law system (NIRL).

b) The case where only the independent rule of law (I) is present

When only the independent rule of law (I) is present, the structure of access to power has the following form:

3) APO2 = eI

Expression 3 above tells us that in system APO2 access to power is in the presence of independent rule of law (I) in an environment where there is no effective targeted chaos (e).

Notice that the system where there is an independent rule of law system (I) under no effective targeted chaos (e) is a normal liberal democracy (LD); and therefore,

4) APO2 = eI = LD = Liberal democracy

Expression 4 above highlights the structure of liberal democracies in terms of accessing power through no effective targeted chaos under an independent rule of law system. Here, the majority view rules under an independent rule of law system (IRL).

c) The case where both effective targeted chaos (E) and the independent rule of law(I) are present at the same time

When both effective targeted chaos (E) and the independent rule of law(I) are present at the same time, the structure of access to power acquires the following form:

5) APO3 = EI

Expression 5 above indicates that in system APO3 access to power is in the presence of both effective targeted chaos (E) and of independent rule of law system (I) at the same time.

Notice that the system where there is effective targeted chaos present (E)) and independent rule of law system (I) at the same time is one under temporary authoritarianism (TA), which means

6) APO3 = EI = TA = Temporary authoritarianism

Expression 6 above shows the structure of temporary authoritarianism (TA) in terms of accessing power through effective targeted chaos under an independent rule of law system. Here, the minority view rules under an independent rule of law system (IRL).

d) The case where there is no effective targeted chaos (e) and there no independent rule of law (i) at the same time

When there is no effective targeted chaos (e) and there is no independent rule of law (i) at the present at the same time, the structure of access to power has the following structure:

7) APO4 = ei

Expression 7 above says that in system APO4 access to power is in the absence of effective targeted chaos (e) in an environment where there is no need for independent rule of law system (i).

Notice that the system where there is no effective targeted chaos present (e) and therefore, where there is no need for the existence of an independent rule of law system (i) as access to power is done without chaos is one under a perfect democracy system, which means:

8) APO4 = ei = PD = Perfect democracy

Expression 8 above stresses the structure of perfect democracy in terms of accessing power through no effective targeted chaos, and hence, with no need for an independent rule of law system to sort chaos as there is no chaos. Here, the majority view rules without the need of an independent rule of law system as perfect conditions hold true: no chaos, no need for the legal system.

The general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant framework

If we link the structure of the general effective targeted chaos inversegram-independent rule of law Inversegram quadrant-based framework in Figure 3 above quadrant by quadrant with the components of the different system access to power variability framework described above and match them one by one we create the situation summarized in Figure 4 below. We can appreciate the following when this link one by one is made as shown in Figure 4 below: i) permanent authoritarianism (PA) is in quadrant 4 so that PA = Ei = Q4 = SS4; ii) Liberal democracy (LD) is in quadrant 2 as LD = eI = Q2 = SS2; iii) Temporary authoritarianism (TA) is in quadrant 3 as TA = EI = Q3 = SS3; and iv) Perfect democracy (PD) is in quadrant 1 since PD= ei = Q1 = SS1.



Figure 4 The presnt-absent effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law system framework: The P-A-EFK-IRL framework

Figure 4 above points out the quadrants under which specific power structures exist and persist in terms of the present or absent of effective targeted chaos or of independent rule of law systems or both at the same time: i) in the "ei" quadrant we have perfect democracy; ii) in the "eI" quadrant we have normal liberal democracy (LD); iii) in the "EI" quadrant we have temporary authoritarianism (TA); and iv) in the "Ei" quadrant we have permanent authoritarianism (PA). In

another words, Figure 4 summarizes the structure of the general present-absent general effective targeted chaos and independent rule of law quadrant framework

Two applications of the general present-absent effective targeted chaos - independent rule of law quadrant-based framework

To show how the present-absent framework works we are going to apply it to the case of authoritarianism and to the case of democracy as shown below:

a) The necessary and sufficient conditions for authoritarianism to come to exist and persist

If there is effective targeted chaos (E) there will be authoritarianism (A) as indicated in Figure 5 below:



Figure 5 The necessary and sufficient condition for authoritarianism to come to exist

Figure 5 above can be used to highlight that if there is effective targeted chaos (E) as indicated by the continuous blue arrow E going upwards there will be authoritarianism in both in systems where there is no independent law system (i) and in places where there is an independent rule of law system (I). And as long as there is effective targeted chaos (E) authoritarianism will persist in power.

b) The necessary and sufficient conditions for democracy to come to exist and persist

If there is no effective targeted chaos (e) there will be democracy (D) as shown in Figure 6 below:



Figure 6 The necessary and sufficient condition for democracy to come to exist

Figure 6 above can be used to stress that if there is no effective targeted chaos (e) as indicated by the continuous blue arrow "e" going downwards there will be democracy in both in systems where there is no need for independent law system (i) and in places where there is need for an independent rule of law system (I). And as long as there is no effective targeted chaos (e) democracy will persist in power. For example, in liberal democracies under an independent rule of law system if there is no effective targeted chaos and there is no evidence of fraud as for example electoral fraud, then no claim of fraud made in an independent court system can be successful without proof that fraud took place so the persistent of liberal democracy is affirmed under no effective targeted chaos.

The application of the general present-absent effective targeted chaos-independent rule of law quadrant-based framework to capture the dynamics of exism movements like Trumpism

There is a specific way exism movements come to power within liberal democracies, there is a reason why exism movements cannot work withing democratic structures, and there is

a reason why exism movements will pivot towards permanent authoritarianism to remain in power whether they win or lose elections, each case described below:

a) The structure of Trumpism as it comes to exist as temporary authoritarianism

Since exism movements like Trumpism (T) come from inside liberal democracies (LD), shifting it from normal liberal democracies (NLD = LD) or normal democratic outcomes (NDO), where the majority view rules to extreme liberal democracies (ELD) or extreme democratic outcomes (EDO) where the minority view rules under an independent rule of law system, then they are found within the quadrant EI, where temporary authoritarianism (TA) is; and this means the following for exism movements like Trumpism (T); and its impact on the working of liberal democratic structure:

TA = T = ELD = EDO and that LD = NLD = NDO

Placing the information about Trumpism (T) in the quadrant where effective targeted chaos exists under independent rule of law system (I) or (Quadrant EI) and placing the information about liberal democracies (LD) as normal democratic outcomes (NDO) in the quadrant where there is no effective targeted chaos(e) under an independent rule of law system or quadrant eI we create the democratic environment under which exism movements like Trumpism (T) come to exist and persist, which is shown in Figure 7 below:



Figure 7 The necessary and sufficient conditions for exism movements like Trumism(T) to come to exist and persist in power

The following aspects can be pointed out based on Figure 7 above: i) It shows that exism movements like Trumpism (T) come to exist in an environment when there is effective targeted chaos (E) within an independent rule of law (I) normal liberal democratic system (LD = NLD), which places it in quadrant EI; ii) it indicates that when exism movements like Trumpism(T) come to power they flip the normal liberal democratic system (NLD) into an extreme liberal democratic system (ELD) as shown by the green arrow from LD = NLD = NDO to TA = T = ELD = EDO; and iii) it tells us that as long as the exism movement like Trumpism (T) manage to maintain or manufacture effective targeted chaos(E) it will remain in power under an independent rule of law system (I). In other words, Figure 7 summarizes the conditions under which democracy (LD = NLD = NDO) is flipped over its head; and the period of temporary authoritarianism (TA = T = ELD = EDO) under Trumpism (T) begins.

b) The incompatibility exism movements like Trumpism with independent rule of law system and their quest to stay in power for ever

As exism movements like Trumpism (T) would like to stay in power for ever but they cannot maintain effective targeted chaos (E) forever, then sooner or later they will lose the democratic contest as there will be no effective targeted chaos (e) then; and when that happens, they will revert back to normal liberal democracy, a situation summarized in Figure 8 below:



Figure 8 When exism movemetns like Trumpism(T) fail to ensure the existence of effective targeted chaos they shift back from extreme liberal democracies where the minority view rules to normal liberal democracies where the majority view rules

We can use Figure 8 above to stress the following aspects: i) When there is not effective targeted chaos (e) under an independent rule of law system (I) the exism movement like

Trumpism (T) loses power and the system shift back from extreme liberal democracy (ELD) to normal liberal democracy (NLD) as temporary authoritarianism (TA = T) time in power ends as indicated by the green arrow going from ELD to NLD; ii) As there is no effective targeted chaos and there is no electoral fraud, then the exism movement like Trumpism can no stay in power without proof of fraud in an independent rule of law system as then evidence of electoral wrongdoing is paramount; and iii) When there is an independent rule of law system, is the exism movement loses power, it loses power; if the exism movement wins power, it wins power, which means that under an independent rule of law system (I) exism movements cannot be expected to remain in power for ever. In other words, Figure 8 summarizes the conditions under which temporary authoritarianism as reflected by exism movements like Trumpism (TA = T = ELD = EDO) reverts back to democracy (LD = NLD = NDO) once there is no effective targeted chaos.

c) The need to persist regardless whether there is effective targeted chaos or not

Therefore, consistent with the above discussion, exism movements like Trumpism (T) will have the tendency to corrupt the independent rule of law system (I) to transform it into a non-independent rule of law system (I = i) so they can remain in power whether it wins the democratic contest(there is effective targeted chaos) or loses democratic contests(there is no effective targeted chaos) as non-independent rule of law systems(i) would go for the best interest of the exims movement, flipping the democratic system in which they were born into permanent authoritarianism based system, as described in Figure 9 below:



Figure 9 The road to permanent authoritarianism(PA) that exism movements like Trumpism(T) need to follow in order to persist in power wheather they win or lose the democratic contest, leading to the death of democracy in those places where exism movmements manage to destroy the independent rule of law system

Figure 9 above can be used to highlight the following aspects: i) Once exist movements like Trumpism (T) manage to destroy the independent rule of law system (I = i), then they will remain in power with no help from the non-independent rule of law system (i) when effective targeted chaos is maintained; and they will remain in power with the help from the non-independent rule of law system(i) when there is no effective target chaos (e); ii) The destruction of the independent rule of law system (I = i) means that even when there is no effective targeted chaos(e), the returned back from extreme liberal democracy (ELD) to normal liberal democracies (NLD) is not possible as indicated by the broken green arrow as the non-independent court system will side with the exism movement like Trumpism (T) despite no evidence of electoral fraud existing; and iii) The blocking of the return to normal liberal democracy (NLD) means the end of democracy (LD = NLD) in the places where exism movements like Trumpism (T) rule; and the coming of permanent authoritarianism (PA) in those places as the red arrow going from TA = T to PA shows. In other words, Figure 9 summarizes the conditions under which democracy (LD = NLD = NDO) ends and permanent authoritarianism (TA = T = PA) begins.

Food for thoughts

1) Can exism movements like Trumpism stay in power for ever under an independent rule of law system? I think No, what do you think?; 2) Is ensuring the existence of an independent rule of law system the key for the survival of liberal democracies? I think Yes, what do you think?; 3) Is the loyalty of exism movement like Trumpism to the country or to the movement? I think to the movement, what do you think?; and 4) Are exism movements like Trumpism populism with a mask? I think Yes, what do you think?

Relevant conclusions

First, it was shown that the present-absent effective targeted chaos-independent rule of law quadrant-based framework, once developed, can capture the necessary and sufficient conditions for different social systems to come to exist and persist under a very specific set of conditions. Second, this present-absent framework was used to point out the necessary and sufficient conditions for authoritarianism to come to exist and persist. Third, this present-absent framework was used to capture the necessary and sufficient conditions under which democracy comes to exist and persist. And fourth, this present-absent framework was utilize to show a) how extreme democratic outcomes like those based on exism movements like Trumpism come to exist and how they can persist under independent rule of law systems; b) How extreme democratic outcomes like those based on exism movements like Trumpism can revert back to normal liberal democracies when there is no effective targeted chaos under an independent rule of law system; and c) How extreme democratic outcomes like those based on exism movements like Trumpism will focus on destroying the independent rule of law system in the aim at remaining in power whether they win the democratic contest(there is effective targeted chaos) or

they lose the democratic contest(there is no effective targeted chaos) with the help of a non-independent rule of law system.

References

British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC), 2016. **Brexit: Europe stunned by UK Leave vote,** EU Referendum News, June 24, London, UK.

British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC), 2020. **Trump won't commit to peaceful transfer of power,** News US & Canada, September 24, London, UK.

Collinson, Stephen, 2020. **Trump in denial over election defeat as Biden gears up to fight covid,** November 9, Politics, CNN, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Muñoz, Lucio, 2015 . **Moral and Practical Sustainability Gaps: Implications for the Current Liberal Development Model,** Weber Sociology & Anthropology (ISSN:2449-1632), Vol. 1 (4) 2015, Article ID wsa_149, 317-320.

Muñoz, Lucio, 2019. **Moral and Amoral Liberal Democracies: How Targeted Chaos Can Affect the Democratic Process?** In: International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research (IJMSSSR), Volume 1, Issue 2, March-April, Ed. Dr.Vishal Muvel, India

Muñoz, Lucio, 2021. **Sustainability thoughts 134: How can normal and extreme democratic outcome theory be used to point out the structure of the 2016 shift from true democracy thinking to temporary democratic authoritarianism thinking and its main implications?.** In: CEBEM-REDESMA Boletin, Año 15, Nº 5, May, La Paz, Bolivia.

Rawlinson, Kevin, 2016. **The world's newspapers react to Trump's election victory,** Thursday, June 10, London, UK.

Shamsian, Jacob and Sonam Sheth, 2021. **Trump and Republican officials have won zero out of at least 42 lawsuits they've filed since Election Day**, Business Insider, Politics, January 5, New York, NY, USA.

The Guardian (TG), 2020. **US election results 2020: Joe Biden's defeat of Donald Trump,** US News, US Elections, December 08, London, UK.

The Guardian (TG), 2024. **UK general election results in full: Labour wins in a landslide**, UK Politics, July 05, London, UK.